

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/527,665	03/11/2005	Christophe de Romeuf	065691-0388	. 7255	
22428 7590 · 01/15/2008 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP		EXAMINER			
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			CROWDER, CHUN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		01/15/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/527,665	DE ROMEUF ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Chun Crowder	1644

zororo mo i milg ol anti-ppom ziro.	Examiner	Art Onit					
•	Chun Crowder	1644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Normal (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 November 2007</u> . A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacement.)	a)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	I dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 		TE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jootou olaliilo.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>38</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>37</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or atta	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
	•						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment to the claims, filed on November 28, 2007, will not be entered because the proposed amendment would introduce new limitations that have not been previously considered; as such the proposed amendment would raise new issues that require further consideration and search; the new limitations encompassed by the proposed amendment would also raise the issues of new matter. Further, the amendment is not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal. Therefore the amendment will not be entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for reasons of record. Applicant's arguments and the Examiner's rebuttal are essentially the same of record.

Mahu M. Haddar MAHER M. HADDAD PRIMARY EXAMINER 1/3/08